IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4563 of 1997
with
CIVIL APPLICATION No 11063 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE K.M.MEHTA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

BIJLI MAZDOOR PANCHAYAT
Versus
GUJARAT ELECTRICITY BOARD

Appearance:
MR NR SHAHANI for Petitioner
MS MAYA DESAI with MR MD PANDYA for Respondent

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE K.M.MEHTA

Date of decision: 18/10/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Both these matters are taken up for final disposal today. Mr.Shahani, learned advocate appears for the petitioner and Ms.Maya Desai with Mr.M.D.Pandya, learned advocate appears for the respondent Board.

2. In this matter I had already passed an order on 3.4.2001. Pursuant to that, Mr.N.R.Shahani, learned advocate for the petitioner states that majority of the workers out of 183 listed at page 13 of the paper book are now absorbed by the respondent Board. He further states that last batch of 10 workmen was duly absorbed as helpers on 24/25-9.2001. Mr.M.D.Pandya, learned advocate for the GEB also agrees to this. He states that the notification prohibiting contract labour system in Coal Yard operation and Coal Mills, Stage I & II is issued by the Government of Gujarat on 10.6.1997.

3. Learned advocate for the petitioner further states that workers concerned in these operations where contract labour system is prohibited and the petitioner in Civil Application No.11063 of 1998 will now make representations for any of the remaining grievances like omission to absorb, higher pay scale, fitment in the pay scale and date of absorption, etc. before the head office of respondent Board. He further states that such representation will be filed individually or in group or through a union which will be disposed of by the authorities i.e. GEB by speaking parawise decision which in turn will be communicated, preferably within four months.

4. In view of the above, both these matters are stands disposed of accordingly. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

(K.M. Mehta, J.)

syed/